REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-10, 12-42, 44-64, 69-80 and 82-96 are pending in the present

application. Of these claims, 1, 33 and 69 are independent.

Claim 33 was objected to because of a punctuation error which has been

addressed in this amendment.

Claims 1-10, 12-42, 44-64, 69-80 and 82-96 were rejected as being indefinite.

Claim 1 has been amended to provide antecedent basis for the claimed "non blood flow side."

Claim 69 was specifically rejected for failing to provide antecedent basis for the "non blood

flow side," however, Applicant submits that antecedent basis is provided at line 10 for the

"non blood flow side." Claim 33 was also rejected for failing to provide antecedent basis for

the "isolated side," however Applicant submits that antecedent basis is provided at line 11 for

the claimed "isolated side."

Claims 1-10, 12-42, 44-64, 69-80 and 82-96 have been amended to overcome

the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections and are now in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

Applicant submits that all claims are in condition for allowance and an action

to that end is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would

expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 415-412-3322.

Respectfully submitted,

February 14, 2008

Date

Jens E. Hoekendijk P.O. Box 4787

Burlingame, CA 94011-4787

Tel.: 415-412-3322 Fax: 650-344-9629